




| | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| Fails to meet criteria | Not promising | Meets in some respects | Potentially meets criteria | Meets criteria |
|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|

* For explanation of criteria see Box B, page 22

ASSESSMENT TABLE: Oil and gas


|  | Credible | | | Capable | | Compelling | | | Priority approach |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | Maturity | Economic viability | Social acceptability | Fit for purpose | Net-zero pathway potential | To critical stakeholders | Related costs and benefits | Economic development opportunities | |
| Oil and gas production (non-oilsands) | | | | | | | | | |
| Methane emission reduction | Well developed technologies to reduce fugitive emissions | Depends on context | High | High | Only as part of an integrated strategy to get to net zero. | To industry incumbents when faced with regulatory or cost pressures | Adds costs for abatement but may generate revenue through reduced methane wastage. Reduces GHG intensity of product (Foreign market access) | Growing market for specialized oil and gas equipment | Medium. Necessary as long as fossil fuel extraction continues. |
| Machinery equipment and vehicles | Emerging. Electric or hydrogen fuel cell | Varies but more expensive than diesel | No particular problems | Yes. | Only as part of an integrated strategy to get to net zero. | To industry incumbents when faced with regulatory or cost pressures | Reduction of air pollution | For equipment or vehicle manufacturers | Low to medium Important as long as fossil fuel extraction continues |
| Oilsands production | | | | | | | | | |
| Equipment and vehicles | Developed or emerging | Varies but more expensive than diesel | No particular problems. But increasing public concern over oil sands | Yes. But limited compared to overall emissions | Only as part of an integrated strategy to get to net zero | To industry incumbents when faced with regulatory or cost pressures | Reduction of air pollution | For equipment or vehicle manufacturers | Medium. (small part of overall GHG production footprint) |
| Low carbon electricity for SAGD or other approaches | Multiple low carbon options including electricity from low carbon grid, renewables, small modular nuclear reactors. | Much more expensive than natural gas now. Might make sense faced with tight regulations and high carbon price | Varies by generation technology (see power table Section 5.1) | Yes, depending on circumstances | Only as part of an integrated strategy to get to net zero. | Depends on cost, regulatory environment, oil market conditions. | Potential energy and cost savings. Reduced air pollution. Danger of further stranded assets as oil demand declines. | For suppliers of alternative energy technologies | Low to medium. Option while oil extraction continues |
| Alternative extraction approaches | Multiple possibilities at R&D and demo stage including solvents and radio frequency heating | Expensive but potential cost savings when mature from reduced energy use | Unknown | Yes, in principle but not tested at scale | Only as part of an integrated strategy to get to net zero. Data on performance closely held by companies so impossible to verify real emission reduction potential | Yes, oil producers. Depends on costs, regulatory environment, oil market conditions. | Potential energy and cost savings. Reduced air pollution. | For suppliers of alternative extraction technologies | Low to medium. Option while oil production continues |
| Artificial intelligence & machine learning | | | | | | | | | |
| | Technology still emerging and oil and gas applications under development | Unclear. Depends on context | No particular problems | Yes, in principle | Only as a small part of an integrated strategy to reduce emissions | Yes. Current industry enthusiasm to reduce costs and raise efficiencies | Increases recovery rates and reduces cost. Could threaten some jobs | Could creates demand for skills that can be transferred to other sectors | Low priority for substantial de-carbonization |



| | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| Fails to meet criteria | Not promising | Meets in some respects | Potentially meets criteria | Meets criteria |
|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|

* For explanation of criteria see Box B, page 22


ASSESSMENT TABLE: Oil and gas

|  | Credible | | | Capable | | Compelling | | | Priority approach |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Maturity | Economic viability | Social acceptability | Fit for purpose | Net-zero pathway potential | To critical stakeholders | Related costs and benefits | Economic development opportunities | |
| Carbon capture and storage (CCS) across the industry | | | | | | | | | |
| For energy production, bitumen upgrading, hydrogen production, refining, etc. | At large demo stage | Depends on application, more development required to reduce costs | No organized opposition today, some concerns about leakage | Yes. But adds costs and complexity to operations | Depends on application. Must be supplemented with offsets to reach net zero. | Yes, in appropriate context. Can be applied to existing facilities | Creates a feedstock of CO ₂ for storage or industrial use | Potential to be linked to hydrogen economy development | High. Potentially useful in multiple contexts. |
| Negative emissions technologies | | | | | | | | | |
| To offset residual emissions. Direct air capture, afforestation, agricultural practices, BECCs, etc. | Technologies at different stages of development | Highly variable: tree planting cheap, air capture expensive, etc. | No organized opposition | In principle. But many uncertainties about permanence, effectiveness, costs, scalability | In principle. But questions about permanence, and scale available to offset residual emissions from fossil fuel production and use - because of offset required elsewhere in the economy and eventual need shift entire economy to net negative. | Some offsets in use to meet existing carbon pricing schemes | Allows continues production of fossil fuels with the costs and benefits this entails | Potentially, if adopted at scale | Low to medium (varies with approach). R&D and demos to gain experience and understanding |
| Hydrogen production (as an alternative energy carrier) | | | | | | | | | |
| Steam-methane reforming (NG feedstock) without CCS | Well established technology | Economic technology producing hydrogen for many industries | Some concerns about hydrogen safety | Yes | Not net zero. Only as a transitory path of low emission hydrogen production | Increasing interest in hydrogen across multiple sectors | Produces abundant GHGs. | Transition to hydrogen economy | Not net zero compatible |
| Steam-methane reforming (NG feedstock) with CCS | Hydrogen established. Hydrogen production with CCS: large scale demos already underway | Currently expensive | Some concerns about hydrogen safety | Yes | Potentially net zero if offsets for NG extraction and transmission | Yes | Fossil energy producers as hydrogen emerges as a viable energy carrier | Transition to a hydrogen economy | Medium high To accelerate low carbon hydrogen deployment |
| In-situ gas wells | Still at experimental stage | Unknown: too early in development | Some concerns about hydrogen safety | In principle | Potentially. With sequestration of emissions and offsets to mop up residuals | Not appealing while gas production possible, and hydrogen demand undeveloped | Maintain revenue stream from mature oil and gas reservoirs. Uses existing pipeline infrastructure Reduces air pollution | Energy production/ exports in a decarbonizing world. | Medium high Potential net zero hydrogen production |
| In-situ oil sands | Still at experimental stage | Unknown: too early in development | Some concerns about hydrogen safety | In principle | Potentially. With sequestration of emissions and offsets to mop up residuals | Not appealing while oil production possible and hydrogen demand undeveloped | Maintains revenue stream from bitumen resource. Reduces air and water pollution | Energy production/ exports in a decarbonizing world. | Medium high Potential net zero hydrogen production |

| | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| Fails to meet criteria | Not promising | Meets in some respects | Potentially meets criteria | Meets criteria |
|------------------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|

* For explanation of criteria see Box B, page 22

ASSESSMENT TABLE: Oil and gas

|  | Credible | | | Capable | | Compelling | | | Priority approach |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| | Maturity | Economic viability | Social acceptability | Fit for purpose | Net-zero pathway potential | To critical stakeholders | Related costs and benefits | Economic development opportunities | |
| Geothermal Electricity | | | | | | | | | |
| Utility-scale power generation | Demonstration scale in Canadian context | Not cost competitive today | No problems today. For some technologies local concern over fracking and seismic activity | Yes, in principle. Continuous baseload power | Yes | No strong constituency yet, but emerging | Geographic overlap between geothermal resources and oil and gas areas | Some, helps transition away from oil and gas extraction | High as alternative development trajectory in Alberta |
| Non-combustive uses for bitumen | | | | | | | | | |
| Activated carbon, vanadium, carbon nanotubes, carbon fibre | Varies according to materials | Not commercially attractive today. Longer term prospects unclear | Concerns remain re: bitumen extraction (tailings, emissions, liabilities, land disturbance) | High | Possible if extraction and processing emissions are avoided, captured and/or offset | Yes | Increasing demand for carbon nanotubes/fibre and vanadium as decarbonization deepens | Possibly but cost unclear and many competing sources | Medium. Possible diversification strategy for Alberta |
| Lithium | | | | | | | | | |
| Direct extraction from oilfield wastewater | Under development | Expensive today (no commercial operations) | No particular problems | Yes, in principle | Yes with net zero extraction | Potentially, if profitable | Growing demand for lithium, does not require mining | Depends on growth of demand, and there are many potential competing sources. | Low. Possible diversification strategy for Alberta. |